

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/600,848	PRIETO-DAPENA ET AL.	
	Examiner David Guzo	Art Unit 1636	

All Participants:

(1) David Guzo

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) David A. Jackson

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 12 January 2004

Time: 4:30

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

52

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative gave approval for an examiner's amendment to claim 52, said amendment was necessary to correct what appeared to be a typographical error in the claim.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)